

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Daniel Bradley

Plaintiff,

V.

CIVIL ACTION
NO. 18-CV-30039-MGM

Cicero, et al

Defendants.

**REPORT RE: REFERENCE FOR
ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

TO JUDGE MASTROIANNI

☐ The parties have informed the court that they wish to withdraw their request for ADR at this time.

☒ On April 29, 2019 I held the following ADR proceedings:

☐ SCREENING CONFERENCE

☐ EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION

☐ FOLLOWUP CONFERENCE

☐ SUMMARY BENCH / JURY TRIAL

☐ MINI-TRIAL

☒ MEDIATION

☒ All parties were represented by counsel

☒ The parties were present in person or by authorized corporate officer.

The case was:

☐ Settled. _____

☐ There was significant progress.

☒ Further efforts to settle this case at this time are, in my judgment, unlikely to be productive. This case should be restored to your trial list.

☐ Suggested strategy to facilitate settlement: await further word from the parties.

☐ Other: _____

April 29, 2019

DATE

/s/ Kenneth P. Neiman

ADR Provider

KENNETH P. NEIMAN, U.S. Magistrate Judge